



TITLE:

# Capelli identities with zero entries (Various Issues relating to Representation Theory and Non- commutative Harmonic Analysis)

AUTHOR(S):

Wachi, Akihito

---

CITATION:

Wachi, Akihito. Capelli identities with zero entries (Various Issues relating to Representation Theory and Non-commutative Harmonic Analysis). 数理解析研究所講究録 2017, 2031: 191-201

ISSUE DATE:

2017-05

URL:

<http://hdl.handle.net/2433/236750>

RIGHT:

# Capelli identities with zero entries

Akihito Wachi (Hokkaido University of Education)

## Abstract

In the Capelli identities and several variants of them, the entries of matrices in the identities are usually nonzero except a few cases of alternating matrices. In this paper we introduce Capelli identities in which there are zero entries, and, as an application, we compute  $b$ -functions of prehomogeneous vector spaces.

## 1 Introduction

Let  $t_{ij}$  be (independent) variables, and set

$$T = (t_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial T} = \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{ij}} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}.$$

Then the original Capelli identity is the following equation in the ring of the differential operators with polynomial coefficients [1]:

$$\det({}^tT) \det \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right) = \det \left( {}^tT \frac{\partial}{\partial T} + \begin{pmatrix} n-1 & & \\ & n-2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad (1)$$

where the determinant is defined as  $\det(X) = \sum_{\sigma} \operatorname{sgn}(\sigma) X_{\sigma(1)1} X_{\sigma(2)2} \cdots X_{\sigma(n)n}$ , which is called the column determinant.

Define a polynomial  $f$  and a differential operator  $f^*(\partial)$  with constant coefficients by

$$f = \det({}^tT), \quad f^*(\partial) = \det \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right).$$

Then the differentiation by  $f^*(\partial)$  on  $f^{s+1}$  gives a scalar multiple of  $f^s$ :

$$f^*(\partial).f^{s+1} = b_f(s)f^s,$$

and  $b_f(s) \in \mathbb{C}[s]$  is called the  $b$ -function of  $f$ . In this case it is known that  $b_f(s) = (s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+n)$ , and the Capelli identity enables us to compute this  $b$ -function.

Next we recall a variant of the Capelli identity, where  $t_{ij}$  are variables satisfying  $t_{ij} = t_{ji}$ . There is an analogous identity in this setting. Set

$$T = (t_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq n}, \quad \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial T} = \left( \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial t_{ij}} \right)_{1 \leq i, j \leq n},$$

where

$$\frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial t_{ij}} = \begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{ii}} & (i = j) \\ \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t_{ij}} & (i \neq j) \end{cases}$$

Then the Capelli identity in this case is as follows [3]:

$$\det({}^tT) \det \left( \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial T} \right) = \det \left( {}^tT \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial T} + \begin{pmatrix} \binom{n-1}{2} & & \\ & \binom{n-2}{2} & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right). \quad (2)$$

Define a polynomial  $f$  and a differential operator  $f^*(\partial)$  with constant coefficients by

$$f = \det({}^tT), \quad f^*(\partial) = \det \left( \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial T} \right). \quad (3)$$

Then the  $b$ -function is given by

$$f^*(\partial) \cdot f^{s+1} = b_f(s) f^s, \quad b(s) = (s+1)(s+\frac{3}{2})(s+2) \cdots (s+\frac{n+1}{2}). \quad (4)$$

The Capelli identity again enables us to compute the  $b$ -function also in this case.

In the above two cases the matrix  $T$  has nonzero entries only. In this paper we consider the cases where  $T$  has zero entries, and prove the Capelli identities (Theorem 1). We hope the  $b$ -functions of  $\det(T)$  are computed by using our Capelli identities, but we can not use the Capelli identities to compute all the  $b$ -functions at present. We give the  $b$ -functions computed by using our Capelli identity or in different ways (Propositions 5, 6, 7).

## 2 Capelli identities with zero entries

When some entries of  $T$  are zero, the Capelli identities (1) and (2) can hold.

**Theorem 1.** (1) Let the entries of  $T$  be (independent) variables or zero, and suppose that  $T$  satisfies the following conditions:

- (A) In each row of  $T$  zero entries are at the end of the row.
- (B) The number of the zero entries of a row is greater than or equal to that of the previous row.

In other words nonzero entries are placed just as a Young diagram. Then the identity (1) in Introduction holds.

(2) Let the entries of  $T$  be symmetric variables ( $t_{ij} = t_{ji}$ ) or zero, that is,  $T$  is a symmetric matrix containing zero entries. Suppose also that  $T$  is of the following form:

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_1 & T_2 \\ {}^tT_2 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (T_1 \text{ is } p \times p, T_2 \text{ is } p \times q, \text{ and } p + q = n),$$

where  $T_1$  and  $T_2$  have no zero entries. Then the identity (2) in Introduction holds.

## 2.1 Proof of Theorem 1 (1)

We denote  $\partial/\partial t_{ij}$  by  $\partial_{ij}$  for short.

Let  $\lambda_i$  be the number of nonzero entries of the  $i$ th row of  $T$ , and therefore  $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n$ . Note that the partition  $(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  corresponds to the Young diagram mentioned in the theorem. We interpret  $t_{ij}$  and  $\partial_{ij}$  are zero when  $j > \lambda_i$ . We define the ‘characteristic function’ corresponding to the nonzero entries of  $T$ :

$$\epsilon_{(i,j)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (j \leq \lambda_i) \\ 0 & (j > \lambda_i) \end{cases}$$

We use the exterior calculus for the proof. Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n$  be the standard basis of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and consider the algebra  $A := \bigwedge \mathbb{C}^n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$ , which is the tensor product of the exterior algebra  $\bigwedge \mathbb{C}^n$  and the Weyl algebra  $W$  generated by  $t_{ij}$  and  $\partial_{ij}$ . In denoting elements of  $A$  we write such as  $e_1 e_2 t_{12} \partial_{23}$  instead of  $e_1 \wedge e_2 \otimes t_{12} \partial_{23}$  for short.

Define some elements of  $A$ . Set

$$\eta_k = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i t_{ki} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n), \quad \zeta_j = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \left( {}^tT \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right)_{ij} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n),$$

where  $({}^tT \cdot \partial/\partial T)_{ij}$  means the  $(i, j)$ -entry of the matrix. We can write  $\zeta_j$  in other forms as

$$\zeta_j = \sum_{i,k=1}^n e_i t_{ki} \partial_{kj} = \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k \partial_{kj}.$$

For a complex number  $u$  define  $\zeta_j(u) = \zeta_j + u e_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), and we can write  $\zeta_j(u)$  in another form as

$$\zeta_j(u) = \zeta_j + u e_j = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \left( {}^tT \frac{\partial}{\partial T} + u 1_n \right)_{ij},$$

where  $1_n$  denotes the identity matrix of size  $n$ .

**Lemma 2.** For  $l, j, k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $u \in \mathbb{C}$  we have the following, where  $\delta_{lk}$  denotes the Kronecker delta.

- (1)  $\partial_{lj} \eta_k = \eta_k \partial_{lj} + \delta_{lk} \epsilon_{(l,j)} e_j$
- (2)  $\zeta_j(u) \eta_k = -\eta_k (\zeta_j(u) - \epsilon_{(k,j)} e_j)$

*Proof.* (1)

$$\begin{aligned}
 \partial_{ij}\eta_k &= \partial_{ij} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i t_{ki} \\
 &= \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \epsilon_{(i,j)} \epsilon_{(k,i)} (t_{ki} \partial_{ij} + \delta_{ik} \delta_{ji}) \\
 &= \eta_k \partial_{ij} + \delta_{ik} e_j \epsilon_{(i,j)} \epsilon_{(k,j)} \\
 &= \eta_k \partial_{ij} + \delta_{ik} \epsilon_{(i,j)} e_j.
 \end{aligned}$$

(2) We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \zeta_j \eta_k &= \sum_{l=1}^n \eta_l \partial_{lj} \eta_k \\
 &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \sum_{l=1}^n \eta_l (\eta_k \partial_{lj} + \delta_{lk} \epsilon_{(l,j)} e_j) \\
 &= -\eta_k \zeta_j + \eta_k \epsilon_{(k,j)} e_j \\
 &= -\eta_k (\zeta_j - \epsilon_{(k,j)} e_j).
 \end{aligned}$$

Then the desired equation is obtained by adding  $ue_j \eta_k = -\eta_k u e_j$  to both sides.  $\square$

We start the proof of Theorem 1 (1), that is, we prove

$$\det({}^t T) \det \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right) = \det \left( {}^t T \frac{\partial}{\partial T} + \begin{pmatrix} n-1 & & \\ & n-2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right),$$

where the  $(i, j)$ -entry  $t_{ij}$  of  $T$  and the  $(i, j)$ -entry  $\partial_{ij}$  of  $\partial/\partial T$  are zero if and only if  $j > \lambda_i$ .

It is clear that

$$\zeta_1(n-1) \zeta_2(n-2) \cdots \zeta_n(0) = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \det \left( {}^t T \frac{\partial}{\partial T} + \begin{pmatrix} n-1 & & \\ & n-2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

from the definition of (column) determinant. Next we compute the left-hand side of the above equation in another way. By using Lemma 2 (2) we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\zeta_1(n-1) \zeta_2(n-2) \cdots \zeta_n(0) \\
 &= \zeta_1(n-1) \zeta_2(n-2) \cdots \zeta_{n-1}(1) \cdot \sum_{l_n=1}^n \eta_{l_n} \partial_{l_n, n} \\
 &= (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{l_n=1}^n \eta_{l_n} \cdot (\zeta_1(n-1) - \epsilon_{(l_n, 1)} e_1) \cdots (\zeta_{n-1}(1) - \epsilon_{(l_n, n-1)} e_{n-1}) \cdot \partial_{l_n, n}. \quad (5)
 \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $\partial_{l_n, n} \neq 0$  in the above expression. Then  $\epsilon_{(l_n, n)} = 1$ , and therefore every  $\epsilon_{(l_n, j)}$  ( $j \leq n$ ) is equal to one by the definition of  $\epsilon_{(i, j)}$  (recall ‘Young diagram’). Thus we may

assume that every  $\epsilon_{(l_n, j)}$  in the expression is equal to one, and we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{(RHS of (5))} \\
 &= (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{l_n=1}^n \eta_{l_n} \cdot \zeta_1(n-2) \cdots \zeta_{n-1}(0) \cdot \partial_{l_n, n} \\
 &= (-1)^{n-1} \sum_{l_n=1}^n \eta_{l_n} \cdot \zeta_1(n-2) \cdots \zeta_{n-2}(1) \cdot \sum_{l_{n-1}=1}^n \eta_{l_{n-1}} \partial_{l_{n-1}, n-1} \cdot \partial_{l_n, n} \quad (6)
 \end{aligned}$$

We can move  $\eta_{l_{n-1}}$  to the left in this expression with parameters of  $\zeta_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n-2$ ) decreasing by one as  $\eta_{l_n}$  moved. Similarly we repeat this operation, and obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{(RHS of (6))} &= (-1)^{(n-1)n} \sum_{l_1, \dots, l_n=1}^n \eta_{l_1} \eta_{l_2} \cdots \eta_{l_n} \cdot \partial_{l_1, 1} \partial_{l_2, 2} \cdots \partial_{l_n, n} \\
 &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \eta_{\sigma(1)} \eta_{\sigma(2)} \cdots \eta_{\sigma(n)} \cdot \partial_{\sigma(1), 1} \partial_{\sigma(2), 2} \cdots \partial_{\sigma(n), n} \\
 &= \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \text{sgn}(\sigma) \eta_1 \eta_2 \cdots \eta_n \cdot \partial_{\sigma(1), 1} \partial_{\sigma(2), 2} \cdots \partial_{\sigma(n), n} \\
 &= e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \det({}^t T) \det \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial T} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have proved the assertion.

## 2.2 Proof of Theorem 1 (2)

We denote  $\partial/\partial t_{ij}$  by  $\partial_{ij}$ , and  $\bar{\partial}/\partial t_{ij}$  by  $\bar{\partial}_{ij}$  for short.

We define the ‘characteristic function’ corresponding to the nonzero entries of  $T$ :

$$\epsilon_{(i, j)} = \begin{cases} 1 & (i \leq p \text{ or } j \leq p) \\ 0 & (i > p \text{ and } j > p) \end{cases}$$

We interpret  $t_{ij}$  and  $\partial_{ij}$  (and  $\bar{\partial}_{ij}$ ) to be zero when  $\epsilon_{(i, j)} = 0$ .

We use the exterior calculus again. We set  $A = \bigwedge \mathbb{C}^n \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} W$  as in the proof of Theorem 1 (1). Note that  $n = p + q$ .

Define some elements of  $A$ . Set

$$\eta_k = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i t_{ki} \quad (1 \leq k \leq n), \quad \zeta_j = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \left( {}^t T \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial T} \right)_{ij} \quad (1 \leq j \leq n).$$

We can write  $\zeta_j$  in another form as

$$\zeta_j = \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k \bar{\partial}_{kj}.$$

For a complex number  $u$  define  $\zeta_j(u) = \zeta_j + ue_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq n$ ), and we can write  $\zeta_j(u)$  in another form as

$$\zeta_j(u) = \zeta_j + ue_j = \sum_{i=1}^n e_i \left( {}^tT \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial T} + u1_n \right)_{ij}.$$

**Lemma 3.** For  $k, j, l \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and  $u \in \mathbb{C}$  we have the following.

- (1)  $\bar{\partial}_{kj}\eta_l = \eta_l\bar{\partial}_{kj} + \epsilon_{(k,j)}(\delta_{kl}e_j + \delta_{jl}e_k)$   
 (2)  $\zeta_j(u)\eta_l = -\eta_l(\zeta_j(u) - \epsilon_{(l,j)}e_j) + \delta_{lj} \sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_{(k,j)}\eta_k e_k$

*Proof.* (1)

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\partial}_{kj}\eta_l &= \bar{\partial}_{kj} \sum_{i=1}^n e_i t_{li} \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n \epsilon_{(k,j)} \epsilon_{(l,i)} e_i (t_{li} \bar{\partial}_{kj} + \delta_{kl} \delta_{ji} + \delta_{ki} \delta_{jl}) \\ &= \eta_k \bar{\partial}_{kj} + \epsilon_{(k,j)} \epsilon_{(l,j)} e_j \delta_{kl} + \epsilon_{(k,j)} \epsilon_{(l,k)} e_k \delta_{jl} \\ &= \eta_l \bar{\partial}_{kj} + \epsilon_{(k,j)} (\delta_{kl} e_j + \delta_{jl} e_k). \end{aligned}$$

(2) We have

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_j \eta_l &= \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k \bar{\partial}_{kj} \eta_l \\ &\stackrel{(1)}{=} \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k (\eta_l \bar{\partial}_{kj} + \epsilon_{(k,j)} (\delta_{kl} e_j + \delta_{jl} e_k)) \\ &= -\eta_l \zeta_j + \eta_l \epsilon_{(l,j)} e_j + \sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k \epsilon_{(k,j)} \delta_{jl} e_k. \end{aligned}$$

Then the desired equation is obtained by adding  $ue_j\eta_l = -\eta_l ue_j$  to both sides.  $\square$

The next lemma is easy to show, and we omit the proof.

**Lemma 4.** We have

$$\sum_{k=1}^n \eta_k e_k = 0.$$

We start the proof of Theorem 1 (2), that is, we prove

$$\det({}^tT) \det \left( \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial T} \right) = \det \left( {}^tT \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial T} + \begin{pmatrix} (n-1)/2 & & \\ & (n-2)/2 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

It is clear that

$$\zeta_1(n-1)\zeta_2(n-2)\cdots\zeta_n(0) = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \det \left( {}^tT \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial T} + \begin{pmatrix} (n-1)/2 & & \\ & (n-2)/2 & \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right).$$

Next we compute the left-hand side of the above equation in another way. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \zeta_1(n-1)\zeta_2(n-2)\cdots\zeta_n(0) \\ &= \zeta_1(n-1)\zeta_2(n-2)\cdots\zeta_{n-1}(1) \cdot \sum_{l_n=1}^n \eta_{l_n} \bar{\partial}_{l_n}. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Here we need some preparation. For  $s > j$  it follows from Lemma 3 (2) that

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_j(u) \sum_{l=1}^n \eta_l \cdot (\text{some factors}) \cdot \bar{\partial}_{l_s} \\ = \sum_{l=1}^n \left( -\eta_l (\zeta_j(u) - \epsilon_{(l,j)} e_j) + \delta_{lj} \sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_{(k,j)} \eta_k e_k \right) \cdot (\text{some factors}) \cdot \bar{\partial}_{l_s}. \end{aligned}$$

Suppose that  $\bar{\partial}_{l_s} \neq 0$  in the above expression. Then  $\epsilon_{(l,j)} = 1$  by  $j < s$ , and therefore  $\zeta_j(u) - \epsilon_{(l,j)} e_j$  becomes  $\zeta_j(u-1)$ . For the part of  $\delta_{lj} \sum_{k=1}^n \epsilon_{(k,j)} \eta_k e_k$  we have only to consider the case where  $\bar{\partial}_{j_s} \neq 0$  thanks to the factor  $\delta_{lj}$ . Then at least one of  $j$  and  $s$  is less than or equal to  $p$ , and it turns out that  $j \leq p$  by  $j < s$ . When  $j \leq p$ , every  $\epsilon_{(k,j)}$  ( $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ ) is equal to one, and it follows from Lemma 4 that this part is zero. To summarize we have

$$\zeta_j(u) \sum_{l=1}^n \eta_l \cdot (\text{some factors}) \cdot \bar{\partial}_{l_s} = - \sum_{l=1}^n \eta_l \zeta_j(u-1) \cdot (\text{some factors}) \cdot \bar{\partial}_{l_s}.$$

Thanks to the preparation in the previous paragraph the computation goes similarly to the proof of Theorem 1 (1), and finally we have

$$(\text{RHS of (7)}) = e_1 e_2 \cdots e_n \det({}^t T) \det \left( \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial T} \right).$$

Thus we have proved the assertion.

### 3 $b$ -Functions

We can compute the  $b$ -functions of the prehomogeneous vector spaces corresponding to our Capelli identities.

We first consider the following prehomogeneous vector space, which corresponds to the Capelli identity of Theorem 1 (1). Define  $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_m$  as the multiplicities of the partition  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$ . In other words the numbers of nonzero entries in the first  $n_1$  rows of  $T$  are equal, those in the next  $n_2$  rows are equal, and so on. Similarly define  $n'_1, n'_2, \dots, n'_m$  as the multiplicities of the conjugate of the partition  $\lambda$ . In other words the numbers of nonzero entries in the first  $n_1$  columns of  $T$  are equal, those in the next  $n_2$  columns are equal, and so on.



Define complex Lie groups  $P$ ,  $P'$ ,  $G$ , and a vector space  $V$  by

$$P = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & \cdots & P_{1m} \\ 0 & P_{22} & \cdots & P_{2m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_{mm} \end{pmatrix} \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid P_{ii} \in GL_{n_i}(\mathbb{C}) \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, m) \right\},$$

$$P' = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} P_{11} & P_{12} & \cdots & P_{1m} \\ 0 & P_{22} & \cdots & P_{2m} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \cdots & 0 & P_{mm} \end{pmatrix} \in GL_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid P_{ii} \in GL_{n'_i}(\mathbb{C}) \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, m) \right\},$$

$$G = P \times P',$$

$$V = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & \cdots & V_{1,m-1} & V_{1m} \\ V_{21} & \cdots & V_{2,m-1} & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ V_{m1} & 0 & \cdots & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Mat}_n(\mathbb{C}) \mid V_{ij} \in \text{Mat}(n_i, n'_j; \mathbb{C}) \right\}.$$

Namely,  $t_{ij}$  in Theorem 1 (1) is the linear coordinate system on a vector space of this form. Then  $G$  acts on  $V$  by  $(g, h).A = gA^t h$  ( $(g, h) \in G$  and  $A \in V$ ), and  $(G, V)$  is a prehomogeneous vector space.  $f = \det(T)$  is a relative invariant (if  $f$  is a nonzero polynomial) corresponding to the character  $\det g \cdot \det h$ . We can compute the  $b$ -function of  $f$  only in a limited case where  $m = 2$  and  $n_2 = n'_2 = 1$ .

**Proposition 5.** If  $m = 2$  and  $n_2 = n'_2 = 1$  in the above setting, then the  $b$ -function  $b_f(s)$  of  $f = \det(T)$  is given by

$$b_f(s) = (s+1)(s+2) \cdots (s+n_1-1) \cdot (s+n_1)^2.$$

*Proof.* We can compute the  $b$ -function by direct computation using our Capelli identity.  $\square$

We next consider the following prehomogeneous vector space, which corresponds to the Capelli identity of Theorem 1 (2). Let  $p \geq q$  be positive integers. Define a Lie group  $G$  and a vector space  $V$  as

$$G = GL_p(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_q(\mathbb{C}),$$

$$V = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} V_{11} & V_{12} \\ {}^tV_{12} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sym}_{p+q}(\mathbb{C}) \mid V_{11} \in \text{Sym}_p(\mathbb{C}), V_{12} \in \text{Mat}(p, q; \mathbb{C}) \right\}$$

$$\simeq \text{Sym}_p(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \text{Mat}(p, q; \mathbb{C}), \quad (8)$$

where  $\text{Sym}_p(\mathbb{C})$  denotes the set of symmetric matrices of size  $p \times p$ . Namely,  $t_{ij}$  in Theorem 1 (2) is the linear coordinate system on a vector space of this form. Then  $G$  acts on  $V$  by

$$(g, h).A = \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & h \end{pmatrix} A \begin{pmatrix} g & \\ & h \end{pmatrix}^t \quad ((g, h) \in G, A \in V),$$

and  $(G, V)$  is a prehomogeneous vector space.

There are two basic invariants for this prehomogeneous vector space:

$$\begin{aligned} f_1 &= \det(T') & (T' = (t_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}), \\ f_2 &= \det(T). \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

The basic invariants  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  correspond to the character  $\det g^2$  and  $\det g^2 \cdot \det h^2$ , respectively. The  $b$ -function of  $f_1$  is equal to  $(s+1)(s+3/2) \cdots (s+(p+1)/2)$  as seen in (4). We want to compute the  $b$ -function of  $f_2$  by using our Capelli identity, but we have not succeeded at this point. Sato-Sugiyama [2] have computed the  $b$ -function as

$$b_{f_2}(s) = (s + \frac{p+1}{2})^{((p))} (s + \frac{p}{2})^{((q))}, \quad (10)$$

where  $x^{((q))} = x(x-1/2) \cdots (x-(q-1)/2)$ .

## 4 $b$ -Function of several variables

In this section we focus on the prehomogeneous vector space  $(G, V)$  defined by (8), which is corresponding to Theorem 1 (2). We retain the notation there.

For a prehomogeneous vector space with more than one basic invariant, we can consider  $b$ -functions of several variables. In the case we are focusing the  $b$ -function  $b_{d_1, d_2}(s_1, s_2)$  of two variables is defined as

$$f_1^*(\partial)^{d_1} f_2^*(\partial)^{d_2} \cdot f_1^{s_1+d_1} f_2^{s_2+d_2} = b_{d_1, d_2}(s_1, s_2) f_1^{s_1} f_2^{s_2},$$

where  $f_1^*(\partial)$  and  $f_2^*(\partial)$  are defined similarly in the case of (3). It is easy to see that  $b_{1,0}(s_1, s_2)$  and  $b_{0,1}(s_1, s_2)$  determines all  $b_{d_1, d_2}(s_1, s_2)$ , and therefore our goal is to compute  $b_{1,0}(s_1, s_2)$  and  $b_{0,1}(s_1, s_2)$ , which are achieved in Proposition 6 and Proposition 7, respectively. The definition of  $b_{0,1}(0, s)$  reads as  $f_2^*(\partial) \cdot f_2^{s+1} = b_{0,1}(0, s) f_2^s$ , and this means that  $b_{0,1}(0, s) = b_{f_2}(s)$  (see (10)).

We can compute  $b_{1,0}(s_1, s_2)$  by using the ordinary Capelli identity (1) and representation theory.

**Proposition 6.**  $b_{1,0}(s_1, s_2) = (s_1 + \frac{q+1}{2})^{((q))} (s_1 + s_2 + \frac{p+1}{2})^{((p-q))}$

*Proof.* The  $b$ -function  $b_{1,0}(s_1, s_2)$  is defined as

$$f_1^*(\partial) \cdot f_1^{s_1+1} f_2^{s_2} = b_{1,0}(s_1, s_2) f_1^{s_1} f_2^{s_2}.$$

and hence we can use the ordinary Capelli identity for  $f_1$ :

$$\det({}^t T') \det \left( \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial T'} \right) = \det \left( {}^t T' \frac{\bar{\partial}}{\partial T'} + \begin{pmatrix} (p-1)/2 & & \\ & (p-2)/2 & \\ & & \ddots \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix} \right), \quad (11)$$

where  $T' = (t_{ij})_{1 \leq i, j \leq p}$  is the same as in (9). Thus we need to consider the action of the subgroup  $GL_p(\mathbb{C})$  of  $G = GL_p(\mathbb{C}) \times GL_q(\mathbb{C})$  on the subspace  $\text{Sym}_p(\mathbb{C})$  of  $V \simeq \text{Sym}_p(\mathbb{C}) \oplus \text{Mat}(p, q; \mathbb{C})$ , and compute the weight of  $f_1^{s_1+1} f_2^{s_2}$  with respect to this action. Note that monomials of  $f_2$  do not have the equal weight.

We take the Cartan subalgebra  $\mathfrak{h}$  of the Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{gl}_p$  of  $GL_p(\mathbb{C})$  as the diagonal matrices. Let  $\epsilon_i$  ( $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$ ) be the linear coordinate system on  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Then the weight of  $t_{ij}$  is equal to  $\epsilon_i + \epsilon_j$  ( $i \leq p, j \leq p$ ), and zero (otherwise). It is clear that the weight of  $f_1$  is equal to  $2(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \dots + \epsilon_p)$ . The monomials of  $f_2$  which have the highest weight among the monomials of  $f_2$  come from the product of the following three determinants

$$\det(t_{ij})_{\substack{1 \leq i \leq p-q, \\ 1 \leq j \leq p-q}}, \quad \det(t_{ij})_{\substack{p-q < i \leq p, \\ p-q < j \leq p+q}}, \quad \det(t_{ij})_{\substack{p < i \leq p+q, \\ p-q < j \leq p}}$$

up to sign. Therefore the highest weight among the monomials of  $f_2$  is equal to  $2(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \dots + \epsilon_{p-q})$ . Finally it follows that the highest weight of the monomials of  $f_1^{s_1+1} f_2^{s_2}$  is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} & 2(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \dots + \epsilon_p) \cdot (s_1 + 1) + 2(\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \dots + \epsilon_{p-q}) \cdot s_2 \\ & = 2(s_1 + s_2 + 1)(\epsilon_1 + \dots + \epsilon_{p-q}) + 2(s_1 + 1)(\epsilon_{p-q+1} + \dots + \epsilon_{p+q}). \end{aligned}$$

In computing  $f_1^*(\partial) \cdot f_1^{s_1+1} f_2^{s_2}$ , since the result is a scalar multiple of  $f_1^{s_1} f_2^{s_2}$ , we have only to know the scalar multiple by computing the differentiation on a monomial of the highest weight. We use (11) for this computation, and only the diagonal entries on the right-hand side of (11) have the contribution. The  $(i, i)$ -entry of the determinant has the same action as the action of  $e_{ii} + (p - i)/2$ , where  $e_{ii}$  is the unit matrix of  $\mathfrak{h}$ . Thus we can compute the desired  $b$ -function as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1^*(\partial) \cdot f_1^{s_1+1} f_2^{s_2} \\ & = f_1^{-1}(f_1 f_1^*(\partial)) \cdot f_1^{s_1+1} f_2^{s_2} \\ & = f_1^{-1} \cdot (s_1 + s_2 + 1 + \frac{p-1}{2})(s_1 + s_2 + 1 + \frac{p-2}{2}) \cdots (s_1 + s_2 + 1 + \frac{q}{2}) \times \\ & \quad (s_1 + 1 + \frac{q-1}{2})(s_1 + 1 + \frac{q-2}{2}) \cdots (s_1 + 1 + \frac{0}{2}) \times f_1^{s_1+1} f_2^{s_2}. \end{aligned}$$

This shows the proposition.  $\square$

By using the explicit form of  $b_{0,1}(0, s)$  and  $b_{1,0}(s_1, s_2)$  we obtain the remaining  $b$ -function  $b_{0,1}(s_1, s_2)$  of two variables.

**Proposition 7.**  $b_{0,1}(s_1, s_2) = (s_2 + \frac{p}{2})^{((q))} (s_2 + \frac{q+1}{2})^{((q))} (s_1 + s_2 + \frac{p+1}{2})^{((p-q))}$

*Proof.* The  $b$ -function  $b_{0,1}(s_1, s_2)$  is defined as

$$f_2^*(\partial) \cdot f_1^{s_1} f_2^{s_2+1} = b_{0,1}(s_1, s_2) f_1^{s_1} f_2^{s_2}.$$

We differentiate  $f_1^{s_1} f_2^{s_2+1}$  by  $f_1^*(\partial)^{s_1} f_2^*(\partial)$  in two different ways. First one is to differentiate by  $f_1^*(\partial)^{s_1}$  and  $f_2^*(\partial)$  in turn, and the other is to differentiate in reverse order. These two ways are illustrated as follows:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 f_1^{s_1} f_2^{s_2+1} & \xrightarrow{b_{1,0}(s_1-1, s_2+1)} & f_1^{s_1-1} f_2^{s_2+1} & \xrightarrow{b_{1,0}(s_1-2, s_2+1)} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{b_{1,0}(0, s_2+1)} & f_1^0 f_2^{s_2+1} \\
 \downarrow b_{0,1}(s_1, s_2) & & & & & & \downarrow b_{0,1}(0, s_2) \\
 f_1^{s_1} f_2^{s_2} & \xrightarrow{b_{1,0}(s_1-1, s_2)} & f_1^{s_1-1} f_2^{s_2} & \xrightarrow{b_{1,0}(s_1-2, s_2)} & \cdots & \xrightarrow{b_{1,0}(0, s_2)} & f_1^0 f_2^{s_2}
 \end{array}$$

Horizontal arrows mean the differentiation by  $f_1^*(\partial)$ , two vertical arrows mean that by  $f_2^*(\partial)$ , and  $b$ -functions beside arrows are the scalar multiples which arise by the differentiations. Since the above diagram is commutative, we obtain the equation

$$\begin{aligned}
 & b_{1,0}(s_1-1, s_2+1) b_{1,0}(s_1-2, s_2+1) \cdots b_{1,0}(0, s_2+1) \cdot b_{0,1}(0, s_2) \\
 & = b_{0,1}(s_1, s_2) \cdot b_{1,0}(s_1-1, s_2) b_{1,0}(s_1-2, s_2) \cdots b_{1,0}(0, s_2).
 \end{aligned}$$

In this equation  $b$ -functions except  $b_{0,1}(s_1, s_2)$  are already known by Proposition 7 and  $b_{0,1}(0, s) = b_{f_2}(s)$ . Therefore we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 & b_{0,1}(s_1, s_2) \\
 & = b_{0,1}(0, s_2) \cdot \frac{\prod_{t=0}^{s_1-1} b_{1,0}(t, s_2+1)}{\prod_{t=0}^{s_1-1} b_{1,0}(t, s_2)} \\
 & = (s + \frac{p+1}{2})^{((p))} (s + \frac{p}{2})^{((q))} \cdot \prod_{t=0}^{s_1-1} \frac{(t + s_2 + 1 + \frac{p+1}{2})^{((p-q))} (t + \frac{q+1}{2})^{((q))}}{(t + s_2 + \frac{p+1}{2})^{((p-q))} (t + \frac{q+1}{2})^{((q))}} \\
 & = (s + \frac{p+1}{2})^{((p))} (s + \frac{p}{2})^{((q))} \cdot \prod_{t=0}^{s_1-1} \frac{(t + s_2 + \frac{p+3}{2}) (t + s_2 + \frac{p+2}{2})}{(t + s_2 + \frac{q+3}{2}) (t + s_2 + \frac{q+2}{2})} \\
 & = (s_2 + \frac{p}{2})^{((q))} (s_2 + \frac{q+1}{2})^{((q))} (s_1 + s_2 + \frac{p+1}{2})^{((p-q))}.
 \end{aligned}$$

This is the desired  $b$ -function. □

## References

- [1] Alfredo Capelli. Sur les Opérations dans la théorie des formes algébriques. *Math. Ann.*, 37(1):1-37, 1890.
- [2] Fumihiro Sato and Kazunari Sugiyama. Multiplicity one property and the decomposition of  $b$ -functions. *Internat. J. Math.*, 17(2):195-229, 2006.
- [3] H. W. Turnbull. Symmetric determinants and the Cayley and Capelli operators. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)*, 8:76-86, 1948.